G. R. S. 59] GPB—1,000 20 12 1,

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

~~191~~ .1899.
(Muzrai)

File No. 5. (See III)

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month November 1903.

SUBJECT.

File re the Puja Hall of the Sree Anjaneyaswami temple at Hanasoge Udathore Tq-

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT:—

Puja Hak of the Sri Arjunayaswami temple at Hunsoge, Yedatore Taluk.

LAST REFERENCE.

Letter No. 101 dated 13th November 1903 from the Dy Commissioner, Mysore District.

The facts of the case are briefly stated below, the details being given in the judgment of Mr C. B. Seshagiri Rao, Mysore Assistant Commissioner Mysore District, against whose decision the appeal is now pending before Government:—

The Arjunayaswami temple at Hunsoge in the Yedatore Taluk is a Mysore Institution enfran-

endowed with land grants.
One Balachar and Krishnachar
were brothers. Balachar, who
was the original hereditary of the
Pujaris hip of the Sri Ranganatha
temple, transferred his right by
gift to Krishnachar in 1835 and
the two brothers were thereafter
enjoying the Dharmadaya Inam lands,
performing the Puja One their death,
Ananthachar,
Balachar's son and after his
death his son Shamachar,
continued to perform the Puja till
1892. Krishnachar died in 1876,
leaving an illegitimate widow Krishna
Bayi. On the death of Krishnachar
a dispute arose between Ananthachar
and Krishnabayi about the
Puja Hak, and the Panchayat
appointed Ananthachar and Krishnabayi
were to enjoy the Dharmadaya Inam
lands, for life and they
were to remain in

Krishnachar (died
Ananthachar

Balachar
Ananthachar

Ye ananthan Rao (adopted)

family. A decree was accordingly executed on the 8th December 1879. A dispute again arose between Krishnabaji and Shamrao and the case having come up to the Council Court, it was here decided in 1892-93 that the Raja took, which had been gifted by Baburao to Krishna-chur in 1835-36, should be enjoyed by Krishnabaji during her life time, her sister's son Lakshmipirabai continuing to do Raja as her Gumasta as heretofore, receiving 10 Rupees of Pagoda. Krishnabaji died in October 1896 and on her death, Lakshmipirabai, who had been working after the Raja work as her Gumasta, leased to her the half share belonging to the Raja took.

Yeshwantrao Rao (minor), the adopted

adopted son of Shamachar,
and Govindraj, ~~his~~ widow
of Shamachar, both represented
by Balwant Rao, Guardian and
natural father of Yesumantha Rao.
Thereupon filed a suit on the original
side in the Court of the Subordinate
Judge for the recovery of
the Suja land from Seshagirachar
on the strength of the Kumar executed
in 1879 by Krishnaraj and on
the strength of the Deed of Gift
executed by Balachar in favour
of Krishnachar in 1835. Second
Seshagirachar contended that
the half share on the
strength of a Deed of Gift alleged
to have been executed by Balachar in 1831
in favour of Krishnachar and
Seshagirachar (this was further)
The Subordinate Judge V. Krishna Rao,
decided in favour of the Plaintiffs
and

and on an appeal to one C. B.
Seshagiri Row who was the magistrate
and First-
Asst Commr by the Defendant-
Seshagiriachar, he confirmed
in December 1900 the decree of the
lower Court and dismissed the
appeal with costs. Then a question
having arisen whether the second
appeal lay to Commissioner or to the
Dy Commissioner as the District-Magistrate
Officer, the Govt in their Go
no 35-44 dated 8th July 1901 directed
that the first appeal against the
Assistants' decisions should be
to the Dy Commrs (except when the
Dy Commr is a European Officer)
and the second appeal to Govt.
In pursuance of this order the
second
appeal against the one C. B. Seshagiri
-ayya Row's decision under reference
was

(6)

admitted by Government in the Irrigation Department and the records were called for - This appeal is now awaiting disposal.

Lastly, the appellant is since reported to have died but his widow Billubayi says that the would appear on the day of hearing that may be fixed by Govt, and represent her cause.

This is a case for decision by the Council Court under Rule 12(1)(a) of the Proclamation of 1902 as it relates to Pujara Rights of a hereditary nature The case may accordingly be transferred for disposal by a Committee of the Council.

~~Submitted~~

RS
8/1/04

Subd to the Diwan

8/1/04

15733
9.1.04

Pet 617

No. 2206 Cor/Mis/Inder
Myr. F. 5-99
Forwarded (with the file) 06766
to the Secty to Govt, Genl & Rev Depth, for favour of the case being placed before a Committee of the Council - By Order

RS 30/1/04

A Narasimha Sastri Rao
By Secty, Mysore Dept

29.1.04

C. Pet 1/2/04

To be furnished
Dep 3-21-02

and

Note continued :—

The Council decision was communicated to the Dy Commr of the Mysore Dist and the Endorsement to the appellant was also issued (Printed copy of the decision is put up) A copy of the Endorsement was also granted to Bheema Rao Guardian of the minor Respondents (original Plaintiffs) who has submitted a Review Petn No 3305 dt 20th June 1904. This review petn is in English



Submitted

8/7/04

No. 272 Encl 2 files. dt 15.7.04.

Forwarded to the Secretary to Govt, General and Rev Dept, as the order against which this review is brought was passed by a Committee of the Council —

13-7-04

Dewan Sama Rao
Sect Mins Dept

15.7.04 Rec'd Mins 16/7

M. N. 5

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
Secretariat
2931

R 6903

Recs

Returned with draft Council Order, Endorsement and the entries noted in the Secretary to Govt., in the Municipal Department for issue.

By 3/2/05

By Order

Peter D Lund
For Secretary Genl & Rev. Depts

1st February 1904

(Rec'd 4-2-05

To be dealt with the appeal file on the subject

OFFICE OF THE ... AN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
REG. No. 764
MUZRAI BRANCH

Rama-
temple



(General Serial No. 30.)

1890 2

From H Ramanujengar Esquire
Hq Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To L. Anantaswami Rao Esquire BA.
Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
Muzrai Department

Dated 9th } March 1902
Despatched }

Received

General No. 325 } 1892
No.

Enclosures No. nil

Has the honor to request that the file of Kanarese papers and the record of the Muzrai suit connected with the Office of Archak of Sri Anjaneya Swami temple at Hanasoge, submitted with this office No 10 dated 18th July 1901 may be kindly returned as the record is urgently required for reference in this office.

Sd Illegible
For Hq Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

HH.

Ly A

Chary Records

The file asked for may be sent for reference & resubmission

Sd
12/5/02

V. do no 1805 - dy 16/5/02

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—4-7-98.—7,552.

In the Chief Court of Mysore at Bangalore.

Friday the 14th day of February 1902

Present.

Mr: Justice T. Narahari Row, Judge

and

Mr: Justice A. Rangaswamy Iyengar

Offg: Judge.

Second appeal No: 138 of 1899-1900.

Seshagiriachar .. Appellant. (Plaintiff)

vs.

1. Gopu Boyee, Minor by guardian 2nd Respondent.
2. Thrumpati Boyee
3. Yasawantha Row, Minor by guardian Bheema Row

} Respondents (Defendants)

Second appeal against the decree of the District Judge of Mysore in appeal No: 79 of 1898-99, confirming the decree of the Court of the Munsiff of Hole Narsipur in original Suit No: 278 of 1896-97

This second appeal coming on for

for hearing on Wednesday the 12
day of February 1902, the Court delivered
the following

Judgment

There is concurrent finding by both
courts that Krishna Bayi, plaintiff's vendor,
inherited the property from her husband
and had consequently a widow's interest
therein, that Krishna Bayi had no necessity
to sell the property; that the sale by
her to plaintiff was without legal
necessity and is therefore invalid as
against the respondent 3rd Defendant,
the adopted son of Lamachar, grandson
of Babachar, brother of Krishnachar
who was Krishna Bayee's husband,
and that plaintiff has failed to prove
the lease alleged of the property by
Krishna Bayee to Lamachar

It is urged by appellant's advocate
that the lower appellate Court ought
to have allowed him to put in evidence
copy of the gift-document under which
according to him, Krishna Bayee's husband
got in gift the property in question. This
document though within the knowledge
of the plaintiff and could have been
summoned

by him at the trial of the suit in the Munsiffs' Court was not at all mentioned and Summoned there the judge was right in refusing permission to appellant to file as additional evidence the copy of a document which is alleged to exist in the Revenue Court in original

The 1st & 2d issues framed in the suit allowed the plaintiff to prove that the property was the property of his vendor Krishna Bayee herself.

There being no grounds for our interference with the decree of the lower appellate court affirming that of the Munsiff, this second appeal is dismissed with costs

(Signed). T. Narahari Row,
(Signed) A. Rangaswamy Iyengar
Judges

True copy

E. Thanavaumy Chetty
Ag Dy. Registrar

Copy applied for 21-3-02
ready for delivery 22-3-02
delivered to Mr. C. Krishna Murthi
22/3/02

No of words 320
Amount " — 6 — a
1 & 1 fee " — 1 — 4
Paper cost " — — — 6
— 7 — 10

3/4/62

1 map with the Ms

Copy granted
10.4.1902

Mukherjee
7/4/02

Advocate
Petitioner

7/4/02

Copy granted
7/4/02

MUZRAI

1901 — 02

From The Dy Secretary

To

The Dy Comr
Mysore Distt

Dated Nothg

Despatched Nothg May '02.

Received

General No. 1805

Muzrai F. No. 5-99

Enclosure No. Sent Separately by Parcel Post

Has the honor to
re turn the records
~~papers~~ relating to the
appeal in re the
Mutt of the Sri Anjaneya
Swami Temple at Hana
-soge, Yedatore Taluk,
as requested in his re-
325 dated 9th
2. Requests that the
above records may be
returned, early to this Office
~~when the appeal is~~ as
appeal is still pending
disposal.

By order

MGCPB.—11-1-1901—1500

Dy Secre
Muzrai Dept



1890 2

From H. Ramanujiengar Esquire
Asst Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To Lr Anantaswami Rao Esquire B.A.
Deputy Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Honorary Department.

Dated 3rd
Despatched } June 1902
Received

General No. 348
No.

Enclosure No. Records sent separately by Parcel Post

5/6/02

The parcel has not yet been received

Ls
6/6/02

Has the honor to resubmit the records relating to the appeal in re the archakship of the Sri Anjaneya Swami temple at Hanasoge, Yedatore Taluk, received with the Deputy Secretary's docket No 1805 dated 16th March 1902.

for Asst Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

TH.

27. 12. 02

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 27. 12. 1902
REG No 1097
MUZRAI BRANCH

ಸಂ 1481 ದಿನಾಂಕ 13.1.02

Mun: F. 5-99

ಅಮೃತ ಶಿಲೆಯ ಕಂಬಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವ ಸಭಾಮಂಟಪವು ಸುಮಾರು ಕ್ರಿ.ಶ. 1097 ರಲ್ಲಿ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣವಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಶಾಸನಗಳಿಂದ ತಿಳಿದುಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಮಂಟಪದ ಮೇಲ್ಛಾವಣಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಂದರವಾದ ಕೆತ್ತನೆಗಳಿವೆ. ಮಂಟಪದ ಮಧ್ಯಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ದೊಡ್ಡ ಕಲ್ಲಿನ ಹಲಗೆಯಿದೆ. ಇದರ ಮೇಲೆ ಕುಳಿತು ಪ್ರವಚನಕಾರರು ಧರ್ಮೋಪದೇಶ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು. ಈ ಹಲಗೆಯ ಸುತ್ತಲೂ ಕಲ್ಲಿನ ಕಂಬಗಳಿಂದ ಕೂಡಿದ ಒಂದು ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಪೌಳಿಯಿದೆ. ಇದರ ಒಳಗೆ ಪ್ರವೇಶಿಸಲು ಪೂರ್ವ ಮತ್ತು ಪಶ್ಚಿಮ ದಿಕ್ಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಎರಡು ಬಾಗಿಲುಗಳಿವೆ. ಪಶ್ಚಿಮ ದಿಕ್ಕಿನ ಬಾಗಿಲಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಒಂದು ಶಾಸನವಿದೆ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಮಂಟಪವನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿದವರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ವಂಶಾವಳಿಯ ವಿವರಗಳಿವೆ. ಈ ಶಾಸನದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂಟಪವನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿದವರು ಮಹಾಮಂಡಲೇಶ್ವರ ಕಾಮಿರಾಜ ಎಂದು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇವನು ಕಾಮಿರಾಜವಂಶದ ಅರಸನಾಗಿದ್ದನು. ಈ ವಂಶದ ಅರಸರು ಸುಮಾರು 300 ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಈ ಪ್ರದೇಶವನ್ನು ಆಳಿದರು. ಇವರ ರಾಜಧಾನಿ ಕಾಮಿಪುರವಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಇವರು ಜೈನಧರ್ಮದ ಪೋಷಕರಾಗಿದ್ದರು. ಇವರ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಅನೇಕ ಜೈನ ಬಸದಿಗಳು ನಿರ್ಮಾಣವಾದವು. ಇವರ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಜೈನಧರ್ಮವು ಉತ್ತುಂಗ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿತ್ತು. ಇವರ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಜೈನಧರ್ಮವು ರಾಜಧರ್ಮವಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಇವರ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಜೈನಧರ್ಮವು ಪ್ರಜಾಧರ್ಮವಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಇವರ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಜೈನಧರ್ಮವು ಸಾರ್ವಭೌಮ ಧರ್ಮವಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಇವರ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಜೈನಧರ್ಮವು ವಿಶ್ವಧರ್ಮವಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಇವರ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಜೈನಧರ್ಮವು ಸರ್ವಧರ್ಮವಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಇವರ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಜೈನಧರ್ಮವು ಸರ್ವೋತ್ತಮ ಧರ್ಮವಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಇವರ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಜೈನಧರ್ಮವು ಸರ್ವೋತ್ತಮ ಧರ್ಮವಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಇವರ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಜೈನಧರ್ಮವು ಸರ್ವೋತ್ತಮ ಧರ್ಮವಾಗಿತ್ತು.

7/1/03

MUZRAI

19 —19

From

DEPUTY SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To

The Dy Commr
Mysore Dt-

Dated
Despatched 7th January 1903
Received 13th January 1903

General No. 1480
Muzrai F. No. 5-99

Enclosure No. 1

His Docket-no 348
dated 3rd June 1902,
submitting the records
relating to the Appeal Petn of
Seshagirachar, in the
matter of the Archakship
of the Anjaneyaswami
Temple at Hanasoge in the
Gajalatore Taluk.

With reference to the above
has the honour to forward

MGCPB—2,000—7-6-02

Forwards petn Regd no 1097 dated 16th ultimo, since received from Bhimavaram, Respondent, stating that the appellant Seshagirachari died at Nagpore on the 30th November 1902 and praying therefore that the Dy Comrs order, which was appealed against may be given effect to.

2. Enquires whether the allegation contained in the petition is true, and if so, what the Dy Comrs opinion is in the matter of giving effect to the orders of the Addl and the Nagpur Asst Comrs, which were both favourable to the petitioner, but the execution of which was ordered when stayed temporarily in this Office Orr no 1274 dated 7th June 1901, pending decision of the Appeal by Seshagirachari

3. It should also be reported if there are any other associates on behalf of the appellant (who is alleged to have died) to represent his cause in the pending appeal

By order

7/1/03

Dy Secretary

Dft

Sent to petnr Bhimavaram

KV

ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಶನ್.
1735

Rs 9
Court fee
22/4/03

24/4/03

ಸೇಲ್ ಡೀಡ್ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಪಡೆಯುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ ಅರ್ಜಿ

ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವುದು ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವುದಾಗಿ

ಉಡುಪಿ ತಾಲೂಕಿನ ಕಾಪು ಹೋಬಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿದ ಆಟೋ

ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪ್ ಲಭ್ಯವಿದೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಉಡುಪಿ ತಾಲೂಕಿನ

ಕಾರ್ಕಳ ಮತ್ತು ಕುಂದಾಪುರ ತಾಲೂಕುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆಟೋ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪ್ ಲಭ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ

1. ಕಳೆದ ಮೂರು ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಉಡುಪಿ ತಾಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ

ಆಟೋ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪ್ ಮಾರಾಟವಾಗಿರುವ ಪ್ರಮಾಣವನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ

ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸ್ಟಾಂಪ್ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ 1957 ರ ಪ್ರಕರಣ 9 ಎ ಮತ್ತು

ಅದರಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ರಚಿಸಲಾಗಿರುವ ನಿಯಮಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಡಕವಾಗಿರುವ ಯಾವುದೇ

ಅಗತ್ಯತೆಯನ್ನು ಪೂರೈಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

2. ಸಹಿಯಿಲ್ಲದ ಸೇಲ್ ಡೀಡ್ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿರುವುದು

ಅನುಸೂಚಿತ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಸೇಲ್ ಡೀಡ್ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು

ಮೂಲ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದಿಗೆ ಹೋಲಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರಮಾಣೀಕರಿಸಿ

ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಹಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಯವರು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

3 ಮೊದಲಾದ ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಸಲ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಡರ್ಡ್ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗಳು ಅನಂತರ ಕಾಲ್ಪನಿಕವಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಈ ಕಾರ್ಯವು ಪ್ರಾಮಾಣಿಕವಾಗಿ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗದ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಬಾಂಕ್ಷ ಸಾಧಿಸಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗದೆ ಇರುವ ಪಕ್ಷದ

1902 03



FROM M. Kantaraj Urs Esq, B.A
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District,

To L. Ananta Saran Rao Esq, B.A
Deputy Secretary, to the
Govt of Mysore, Revenue
Department

Rec'd
1/6/03

Dated
Despatched 30th May 1903
Received

General No. 309
No.

Enclosure No. 1 & 2

Reporting on the appeal preferred by one Seshagirachar for the Archakship of the Arjunayaswamy temple at Hemmige, in the Yadadore Taluk

Rupees
As

Put up

As more than 2 months have elapsed from the date of the Govt letter, enquire whether the Appellants have taken steps prosecuting the appeal before Govt

15/8/03

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Nuzrai Department

Dated Mysore, 30th May 1903.

From

Mo Kantaraj Urs Esq BA.
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

Lo. Anantasami Aus Esq BA
Deputy Secretary, to the
Government of Mysore
Nuzrai Department.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. 1480/S 99 dated 13th January 1903, relating to the appeal petition of Seshagiriachar in the matter of the Archakship of the Anjaneya Swami temple at Hanasoge in the Yadatore Taluk, I have the honor to state that the alleged death of Seshagiriachar (the appellant) is true. His widow Pillu Bayi alias Rama Bayi has applied for two months' time to engage a Pleader to conduct the appeal, and requests that the produce of the Inam

lands of the temple should not be given to others pending final orders of Government.

2. In case of Government refusing to grant the request of the widow of the appellant, effect may be given to the Muzrai Assistant's order referred

3. The vernacular papers are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

కొండూరు అగ్రహారం గ్రామం

కృష్ణా జిల్లా

15/7/03

15-7-03
56
MUZRAI BRANCH

కొల్లిపర మండలం సౌరగోకులంలో - కల చెరిపేది మళ్ళీ మొదటి
కాలానికి మొలకలు వచ్చే వరకు ఇంటింటా చెట్లు ——

కొల్లిపర మండలం రైతు సేవా కేంద్రంలో రైతులకు - కుర్రవాళ్ళకు చెట్లు పెంచడం
కలలు కనడం నేర్పడానికి ఇలాంటి శిక్షణా తరగతులు ఎన్నో నిర్వహించాలి - కార్యదర్శులకు
ఇచ్చినట్లు మనుషులకు కూడా సర్టిఫికేట్లు ఇవ్వాలి ——

కుర్రవాళ్ళు - స్త్రీలు కలిస్తే ఎంతటి సంకల్పమైనా నెరవేరుతుంది అనడానికి
ఈ కార్యక్రమం కొండూరు - మొదటిది అని చెప్పవచ్చు - మొక్కలు నాటడం
వాళ్ళ బాధ్యత తీసుకోవడం ఇంకా ముఖ్యం - ఇలాంటి కార్యక్రమాలు ఎన్నో
నిర్వహించాలి అని మన్నవ రైతులకు గ్రామస్థులకు చెప్పడం చాలా బాగుంది ——

ఈ కార్యక్రమం నిర్వహించడానికి ముందు మన్నవ గ్రామంలో ఎంతో కృషి
చేసిన తిరుపతి రెడ్డి గారికి అభినందనలు తెలియజేస్తున్నాను —— ఇలాంటి
కార్యక్రమాలు ఇంకా ఎన్నో జరగాలని ఆశిస్తూ

మీ కార్యక్రమాలు విజయవంతం కావాలని కోరుకుంటూ

మీ 1481
13.1.03

ఎస్. వి. సుబ్బారెడ్డి

ఈ కార్యక్రమం నిర్వహించడానికి ముందు మన్నవ గ్రామంలో ఎంతో కృషి
చేసిన తిరుపతి రెడ్డి గారికి అభినందనలు తెలియజేస్తున్నాను —— ఇలాంటి
కార్యక్రమాలు ఇంకా ఎన్నో జరగాలని ఆశిస్తూ

మీ కార్యక్రమాలు విజయవంతం కావాలని కోరుకుంటూ

మీ 1481
13.1.03

ఎస్. వి. సుబ్బారెడ్డి

కొల్లిపర మండలం సౌరగోకులంలో - కల చెరిపేది మళ్ళీ మొదటి
కాలానికి మొలకలు వచ్చే వరకు ఇంటింటా చెట్లు ——

కొల్లిపర మండలం రైతు సేవా కేంద్రంలో రైతులకు - కుర్రవాళ్ళకు చెట్లు పెంచడం
కలలు కనడం నేర్పడానికి ఇలాంటి శిక్షణా తరగతులు ఎన్నో నిర్వహించాలి - కార్యదర్శులకు
ఇచ్చినట్లు మనుషులకు కూడా సర్టిఫికేట్లు ఇవ్వాలి ——

కుర్రవాళ్ళు - స్త్రీలు కలిస్తే ఎంతటి సంకల్పమైనా నెరవేరుతుంది అనడానికి
ఈ కార్యక్రమం కొండూరు - మొదటిది అని చెప్పవచ్చు - మొక్కలు నాటడం
వాళ్ళ బాధ్యత తీసుకోవడం ఇంకా ముఖ్యం - ఇలాంటి కార్యక్రమాలు ఎన్నో
నిర్వహించాలి అని మన్నవ రైతులకు గ్రామస్థులకు చెప్పడం చాలా బాగుంది ——

ఈ కార్యక్రమం నిర్వహించడానికి ముందు మన్నవ గ్రామంలో ఎంతో కృషి
చేసిన తిరుపతి రెడ్డి గారికి అభినందనలు తెలియజేస్తున్నాను —— ఇలాంటి
కార్యక్రమాలు ఇంకా ఎన్నో జరగాలని ఆశిస్తూ

మీ కార్యక్రమాలు విజయవంతం కావాలని కోరుకుంటూ

మీ 1481
13.1.03

ఎస్. వి. సుబ్బారెడ్డి

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

19 —19

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Dy. Commr
Mysore
Hassan &c-

Dated
Despatched } 20th August 1903
Received

General No. 480
Muzrai F. No. 5-99.

Enclosure No. 2 Petns & connexes

With reference to his Letter no 309 dated 30th may 1903, reporting that, in the matter of the appeal preferred by one Hanumaje Lakshajiruchar for the Archakship of the Sri Anjaneyaswami Temple at Hanumaje, in the Gandasi Taluk, the deceased appellant's Lakshajiruchar

MGCPB—1,000—21-7-03.

Pillu Bapji had applied
for two months' time
to conduct the appeal,
has the honour to observe
that the time asked for by
her has already expired.
Requests to be informed if
she is inclined to conduct
the appeal or not -

2. In the letter referred
to above the Dy Commr expressed
his opinion that in case
of Govt refusing to grant
the time applied for by the
appellant's wife, appel-
-lant might be given to the orders
of the Assistt Commr "
which were passed in favour
of the respondent viz Arakoti
Eyavanna Rao (minor)
represented
by his guardian Bahuma Rao

Ans

3. As the said Bhimma Rao has been repeatedly petitioning this office for early orders on the subject, the Dy Comr is requested to inform the wife of the deceased Sashagiricharlu that, unless she is prepared to conduct the appeal immediately, the decisions of the lower Courts viz the Amildar and the Sirguri Asst Comr, which are both in favour of the Respondents but the execution of which was ordered to be stayed temporarily, would be given effect to at once -

4. The undersigned -

-noted two petitions

Chagiricharlu

No 1735 dated 22nd April 1903.
" 576 " 10th July 1903.

again received from
the Respondent Bhuwan Ram
are also herewith forwarded

5. The enclosures are
returned for reference
and resubmission.

By order

Dy Secretary

19/8/03



1903 - 44

From The Dy Commissioner
Mysore district

To The Dy Secretary to
Govt Muzrai dept

Dated 31.10.1903

Despatched 13.11

Received

General No. 101
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

Refers
RS
17/11/03

Regarding the appeal
preferred by Pillu bayi
widow of Venkayi achari
archak of the Anjaneya
swami temple at Bannoor,
Yedabur Taluk

Deputy Commissioner's
Mysore
Muzrai Department
Dated Mysore 31/1911 October 1903.

From

M. Kantaraj Urs Esq, B.A
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To

L. Ananta Sami Rao Esq, B.A,
Deputy Secretary to Govern-
-ment, Muzrai Department

Sir,

In reply to your docket No 480 D 5-99
20th August 1903, I have the honour to sub-
-mit herewith Mysore Taluk Amildar's report
No 85 dated 2nd instant together with its enclosure
from which it will be observed that Pillu
-bayi alias Rama bayi widow of Seshappacha
has deposed that she would appear and re-
-present her grievances on the date that
may be fixed by the Government for hearing
the Muzrai appeal case preferred by her hus
-band

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

M Kantaraj Urs
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

Received
1/12/03